



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/902,422	07/10/2001	Andrew R. Golding	10984-536001 / P264	10984-536001 / P264 7673	
26161 7	590 01/10/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON PC			OSBORNE, LUKE R		
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLI	2 IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
			2123		

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/902,422	GOLDING ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Luke Osborne	2123	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Luke Osborne	2123				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv 		e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	-	•	D WITHIN TWO			
•	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since of Appeal has been filed. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS	·	·	,			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• • •					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timaly filed amondm	ont compoling			
the non-allowable claim(s).						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	·					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c 						
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	•	, ,,	•			
10.	n of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	It does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	'.'.			
13.	- Fau	T. Rodrig	quez			
	Dr	imary Examine				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendments require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amendments do not place the application in condition for allowance and require further search and/or consideration.